


# ALTERNATIVE TRADITIONS IN THE CONTEMPORARY ARTS :

SUBJUGATED KNOWLEDGES AND THE BALANCE OF POWER

ESTERA MILMAN




PUBLISHED BY  
EAST SIDE DADA  
EDITOR: GROVER KEATON  
ASSISTANT EDITORS: ELMER PICASSO  
AND  
LEO DUCHANEL

PROPOST  
0


© 1976

6496  
F55  
MS4  
1999



VOLI


No.1



Tod Jorgensen



John Evans MAY 1 0 1978



Virgil Pomonis & Buster Cleveland ??



The Charles Henri Ford  
correspondence school of  
NYC is represented here  
CONCEPTUAL  
Hommage to G. Johns  
E. F. HIGGINS III

THE LIBRARY OF  
RHODE ISLAND  
SCHOOL OF  
DESIGN



# ALTERNATIVE TRADITIONS IN THE CONTEMPORARY ARTS :

SUBJUGATED KNOWLEDGES AND THE BALANCE OF POWER

ESTERA MILMAN

with contributions by  
Ken Friedman, Stephen Perkins, and Owen Smith

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA MUSEUM OF ART

# CONTENTS

---

1. Introduction 7

## PART ONE

2. Fluxus History and Trans-History: Competing Strategies for Empowerment 13  
3. Process Aesthetics, Eternal Networks, Ready-Made Everyday Actions and Other Potentially Dangerous Drugs 23

## PART TWO

4. Artifacts of the Eternal Network 31  
5. Utopian Networks and Correspondence Identities / Stephen Perkins 35  
6. Exhibition Checklist 44

## PART THREE

7. Alice Hutchins: Arenas for Happenings 53  
8. Circles of Friends: A Conversation with Alice Hutchins 58  
9. Exhibition Checklist 63

## PART FOUR

10. Latin American Realities / International Solutions 67  
11. Exhibition Checklist 75

## PART FIVE

12. Ken Friedman: Art[net]worker Extra-Ordinaire 89  
13. A Pilgrim's Progress / Owen Smith 95  
14. Flowing in Omaha / Ken Friedman 103  
15. Exhibition Checklist 106

16. List of Figures 109

---





**U.S.A. SURPASSES ALL THE GENOCIDE RECORDS!**

**KUBLAI KHAN MASSACRES 10% IN NEAR EAST**

**SPAIN MASSACRES 10% OF AMERICAN INDIANS**

**JOSEPH STALIN MASSACRES 5% OF RUSSIANS**

**NAZIS MASSACRE 5% OF OCCUPIED EUROPEANS AND 75% OF EUROPEAN JEWS**

**U.S.A. MASSACRES 6.5% OF SOUTH VIETNAMESE & 75% OF AMERICAN INDIANS**

**FOR CALCULATIONS & REFERENCES WRITE TO: P.O. BOX 180, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10013**

2.7 U.S. Surpasses All The Genocide Records, c. 1967, designed by George Maciunas

Those people have been in actual control of the Museum's policies since its founding. With this power they have been able to manipulate artists' ideas; sterilize art from any form of social protest and indictment of the oppressive forces in society; and therefore render art totally irrelevant to existing crisis.

Interestingly enough, despite *Bloodbath's* disruptive and unmistakably confrontational presentational format, the museum public who witnessed the action remained aware that this particular event was distinguishable from the anti-war protests then taking place in the streets. That the "audience" remained conscious that they were instead positioned within a culturally sanctified (that is to say, protected) artistic arena is evidenced in a brief statement included in the Guerrilla Art Action Group's Communiqué of 18 November which explains at the close of the event, and just prior to the late arrival of the police, the crowd spontaneously applauded, "as if for a theatre piece."

In his essay "Fluxus Theory and Reception," Higgins attempts to disassociate the early historical accomplishments of the collective from what he remembers to have been the pejorative connotations of the then widely applied rubric "neo-Dada". He writes:

In the 1950s, the journalistic image of Dada was considered to be the limit of the extremely crazy in art ... Thus, early happenings and fluxus (like the works of [Robert Rauschenberg and [Jasper] Johns were often dismissed as 'neo-Dada.' This was, of course, extremely annoying for those of us who knew what Dada was or had been.<sup>19</sup>

In the early 1960s, Andy Warhol was counted among the select group of neo-Dadaists to have been singled out for membership in the newly delineated (and soon to be canonized) North American Pop Art consortium. When asked in 1963 if "pop was a bad name," Warhol (who was to continue to maintain his affiliation with the underground through his loose-knit association with some of the Fluxus people) replied:

The name sounds so awful. Dada must have something to do with Pop—it's so funny, the names are really synonyms. Does anyone know what they're supposed to mean? ... Johns and Rauschenberg—Neo-Dada for all those years, and everyone calling them derivative and unable to transform the things they use—are now called the progenitors of Pop.<sup>20</sup>

George Maciunas (Fluxus' primary impresario and master of ceremonies) opened his 1962 manifesto "Neo-Dada in Music, Theatre, Poetry, Art" with the observation that "neo-dada, its equivalent, or what appears to be neo-dada, manifests itself in very wide fields of creativity."<sup>21</sup> For Maciunas, what appeared to be neo-Dada was "bound with the concept Concretism, [the extreme conclusion of which] is beyond the limits of art, and therefore sometimes referred to as anti-art, or art-nihilism."<sup>22</sup> In a 1992 letter to me addressing my reference in print to the choice of the title "Neo-Dada in der Musik" for one of the earliest Fluxus-related European concerts, Higgins insisted that it was only because the proto-Fluxus community had no name, that they "used Neo-Dada *fait de mieux*, though [they] knew it was inaccurate."<sup>23</sup>

It is generally acknowledged that the resurgence of interest in Dada during mid-century was responsible for a shared conviction among groups of artists that art activity must be withdrawn from its special status as rarefied experience and resituated within the larger realm of everyday experience. While it is true that by the early 1960s the rubric was regularly evoked as a pejorative term by some formalist critics, what is rarely discussed is that neo-Dada was concurrently considered to be coterminous with cultural and socio-political artistic activism by other members of the art world.<sup>24</sup> By 1963 such art writers as Barbara Rose felt compelled to correct what they understood to be "popular misconceptions that the new Dada [was] an art of social protest [and that it was] anti-art."<sup>25</sup> Rose would also concur with many of her colleagues who insisted that John Cage had provided a "common origin [for diverse practitioners of] the new dada."<sup>26</sup>

In the late 1940s Cage had served as new music spokesman for the proto-Abstract Expressionist circle. At the time the composer (who later served as mentor, not only for Rauschenberg and Johns, but also for many of the North American participants in Fluxus, including Higgins) was accused, by some of his more conservative contemporaries, of being a "neo-Futurist."<sup>27</sup> By the early 1960s the venerated composer felt it necessary to respond to a new set of pejorative assumptions about his dependency upon historical precedents. In the process he described Dada as a free-floating, inherently malleable trans-historical constant, the essence of which was embodied in Marcel Duchamp. On the one hand,

Cage insisted that the Dada spirit remained capable of invigorating action in response to shifting contexts and presents. He concurrently let slip that, for him, the historical movement did not come into being until after it had migrated to Paris:

Critics frequently cry "Dada" after attending one of my concerts or hearing one of my lectures. Others bemoan my interest in Zen. One of the liveliest lectures I ever heard was ... called "Zen Buddhism and Dada" ... but neither Dada nor Zen is a fixed tangible. They change; and in quite different ways in different places and times, they invigorate action. What was Dada in the 1920's [sic] is now, with the exception of the work of Marcel Duchamp, just art.<sup>28</sup>

On 13 December 1962, the Museum of Modern Art organized *A Symposium on Pop Art*. Although this event served as a pivotal moment in the art world's process of identification and codification of an appropriate set of prerequisite defining terms for what has come to be known as North American Pop Art, at this point in time the lines of demarcation among those artists who were about to be canonized and those who were to remain outside mainstream art-historical discourse had as yet not been set. In his introductory comments, Peter Selz (MoMA's "curator of painting and sculpture exhibitions") attempts to explain why "Pop Art" was chosen over "New Realism" as a descriptive term for the new phenomenon that had recently spread from coast to coast. Selz further recounts that "the term neo-Dada was rejected because it was originally coined in the pejorative and because the work in question bears only superficial resemblance to Dada [which] was a revolutionary movement primarily intended to change life itself."<sup>29</sup> Contrary to Higgins' aforementioned assertion in "Fluxus Theory and Reception," a number of the MoMA panelists were in agreement that (unlike the new art), historical Dada had mounted a conscious attack against conformity and the bourgeoisie. They further concurred that, motivated by social passion the movement had launched a sophisticated attack on a society held culpable for the First World War. Although Cage is credited on more than one occasion as precursor to the new art, the transcript for the 1963 session includes less than laudatory reference to Duchamp, who served, in turn, as the composer's own mentor. Having accused the new art of appearing to be about the real world, while at the same time remaining dependent upon its sanctification through its "fraudulent relationship [with the] tradition of Dada," Hilton Kramer (then art critic for *The Nation*) continued:

But pop art does, of course, have its connections with art history. Behind its pretensions looms the legendary presence of the most overrated figure in modern art: Mr. Marcel Duchamp. It is Duchamp's celebrated silence, his disavowal, his abandonment of art, which has here—in pop art—been invaded, colonized and exploited.<sup>31</sup>

As had been the case for Kramer in the early 1960s, in his much-used introductory art-

NOTES

1. Tristan Tzara, "New York Dada," in Marcel Duchamp and Man Ray, eds, *New York Dada* (April 1921). A facsimile of this little magazine appears in Robert Motherwell, ed, *The Dada Painters and Poets, New York: Wittenborn, Schultz, Inc. and Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 1951/89* pp. 214-18.
2. Wolf Vostell interviewed by Giancarlo Politi, *Flash Art*, nos. 72-3 (March-April 1977), reprinted in *Flash Art*, no. 149 (Nov-Dec 1989), p. 102.
3. "Interview with Milan Knížák," *Flash Art*, nos. 72-3 (March-April 1977) reprinted in *Flash Art*, no. 149 (Nov-Dec 1989), p. 104.
4. Estera Milman, "Road Shows, Street Events, and Fluxus People: A Conversation with Alison Knowles," in Milman, ed, *Fluxus: A Conceptual Country*, Rhode Island, *Visible Language*, no. 98, 1992. This author's definition of Fluxus as a conceptual country was precipitated by Ken Friedman and George Maciunas' *Visa Tourist: Passport to the State of Flux* a piece first proposed by Friedman in 1966 and realized by Maciunas in 1977.
5. *Ibid.*
6. Tristan Tzara, "Zurich Chronicle, 1915-1919," in Hans Richter, *Dada Art and Anti-Art*, London: Thames and Hudson, 1965, p. 226. Tzara is referring to the appearance in print of the first issue of the little review *Dada*, for which he served as editor.
7. *Ibid.*
8. *Ibid.*, p. 227.
9. *Ibid.*, p. 228.
10. Dick Higgins, "Fluxus Theory and Reception," paper presented during "Fluxus: A Workshop Series. The University of Iowa's Alternative Traditions in the Contemporary Arts" (April 1985), unpaginated. Although this essay has appeared in print, I have chosen to refer to the manuscript that the author sent me.
11. Andy Warhol, "What is Pop Art? Interviews with GR Swenson," *Art News*, vol. 62, no. 7 (Nov 1963), p. 61.
12. George Maciunas, "Neo-Dada in Music, Theatre, Poetry, Art" (c. 1962), reproduced in Clive Phillipot and Jon Hendricks, eds., *Fluxus: Selections from the Gilbert and Lila Silverman Collection*, New York: The Museum of Modern Art, 1988, p. 27. The manifesto was presented by Artus C. Caspari in Wuppertal, on 9 June 1962.
13. *Ibid.*
14. Dick Higgins to the author, "4 October 1992, Buster Keaton's Birthday [1898]."
15. See, for example, Edward T. Kelly, "Neo-Dada: A Critique of Pop Art," *Art Journal*, vol. 22 no. 3 (Spring 1964).




2.11 Flux Year Box 2, c. 1968, George Maciunas, ed. Contributions of objects, games and scores by George Brecht, Willem de Ridder, Frederic Lieberman, Ken Friedman, Claes Oldenburg, James Riddle, Paul Sharits, Bob Shoff, Ben Vautier, Robert Watts, and film loops by: Eric Andersen, John Cale, John Cavanaugh, Albert Fins, Dan Lauffer, George Maciunas, Yoko Ono, Stan Vanderbeek, and Wolf Vostell.

16. Barbara Rose, "Dada Then and Now," *Art International*, vol. 7 no. 1 (Jan 1963), p. 24.
17. *Ibid.*, p. 27.
18. See Estera Milman, "Futurism as a Submerged Paradigm for Artistic Activism and Practical Anarchism," *South Central Review: A Journal of the South Central Modern Language Association*, vol. 13 no. 2-3 (Summer/Fall 1996), pp. 157-79.
19. John Cage, *Silence: Lectures and Writings*, Middletown: Wesleyan University Press 1961, p. xi.
20. "A Symposium on Pop Art," *Arts Magazine*, vol. 37 no. 7 (April 1963), p. 36.
21. *Ibid.*, p. 38.
22. Norbert Lynton, *The Story of Modern Art*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1980, p. 319.
23. Max Kozloff, "An Interview with Robert Motherwell," *Artforum*, vol. 4 no. 1 (Sept 1965), p. 37.



24. *Ibid.*
25. Dick Higgins, Respondent's statement, "Fluxus-Forum Symposium," Walker Art Center, 13-14 February 1993, manuscript version, unpaginated.
26. Robert Pincus-Witten, "Fluxus and the Silvermans: An Introduction," in Jon Hendricks, *Fluxus Codex*, New York: Abrams, 1988, p. 16.
27. Hendricks, *Fluxus Codex*, p. 22.
28. *Ibid.*

3. PROCESS  
AESTHETICS,  
ETERNAL  
NETWORKS,  
READY-MADE  
EVERYDAY  
ACTIONS AND  
OTHER  
POTENTIALLY  
DANGEROUS  
DRUGS



3.1 Bile, n.d., Brodley Lastname, et.

the latter that predominates in the early years of correspondence art. The preoccupation with texts reflects the conceptual turn that work outside of the mainstream took during the early 1960s. Dispensing with the image, the text becomes the initiator of a mental image as well as the agency through which specific projects, events and instruction pieces were set into motion. Other genres which emerged within this potentially de-commodified and multiple based activity include: visual and concrete poetry stamps that examined the construction of words and language and still other stamps created to instruct, protest and authenticate. Later in the 1970s correspondence art expanded the use of image-based rubber stamps.

Another institutional form that was appropriated from its official bureaucratic setting, and which has long been a part of the correspondence art landscape, was the postage stamp itself. Robert Watts, a member of Fluxus was one of the earliest artists involved in the sustained production of artists' postage stamps. Having produced his first stamp sheet in 1961, he stands out as an innovator in expanding the potential of this ready-made emblem of communication. The development of a medium so steeped in constructs of nationhood and identity, coupled with their simultaneous re-insertion and re-distribution into the very system from which their form was taken, aptly illustrates the Eternal Network's utopian attempts at relocating artistic activity within the sphere of everyday life.

With postage stamps stripped of their official function, artists were at liberty to explore other uses tailored to their own priorities; often these were directly related to their network identities. One artist who personified this approach was the Italian Guglielmo Achille Cavellini. Propelled by his personal wealth and a prodigious ego, he relentlessly pursued his own "self-historification" project. His aim was to insert himself into the pantheon of famous artists through the dissemination of copious amounts of books, postcards, stickers and artists' stamps, all of which extolled his greatness and individuality as an artist. Whether he had achieved his aim by the time of his death in 1990 is debatable, but without doubt he had



5.5 Pauline Smith, Cape of Hope, 1978

5.6 Klaus Staack, The Junta Has Established Itself, 1976



5.7 Mohammed, Vite 442: Romano Pelli and Michele Versari, 1979



succeeded in establishing a unique presence within the correspondence art community due in no small part to his use of artists' stamps, all of which bore an endless succession of portraits of himself in various guises and with art historical references.

Any account of the development of correspondence art must take into consideration two important precursors whose use of the postal system has provided lasting and influential models. The first community of artists to systematically incorporate the postal system into their activities was Fluxus. This diverse and international grouping, which included Filliou and Brecht coalesced during the early 1960s under the tireless organizational efforts of their New York-based "commisair" George MacKiasas. The postal system played a central role in providing a medium for the dissemination of text-based event works, the means through which collaborative projects could be undertaken, as well as the development of an independent and alternative distribution network for anthologies of boxed objects.



5.8 A.1. Vesper Paper Co. Ltd., Fluxus, 1966

5.9 Page from the New York Correspondence School Weekly Reader, Vol. 1(1), 1978, Boston Cleveland, etc.



5.10 Vile, Vol. 1(1), 1974, Anna Banana & William Gagliano, eds.







Steve Boyd & Ken



Teddy



Angelika Schmidt ...






To K.F.

# DOWN WITH DUG DULL DADA!

Nicola Vanzetti



THIS ISSUE:



Tod Jorgensen